

Pancasila as way of life in the context of liquid modernity. Sociological and ethical analysis

Theodorus Asa Siri

This title is composed of two fundamental concepts: Liquid Modernity and *Pancasila*.

1. The Understanding of Liquid Modernity.

Some sociologists use different terms to explain the period of postmodernity. Ulrich Beck, for example, uses 'reflexive modernity'. Anthony Giddens: 'high' or 'late' modernity. George Baldier: surmodernity. George Ritzer: second modernity¹. Zygmunt Bauman, meanwhile, has introduced the term Liquid Modernity².

A sociologist born in Poland³, Zygmunt Bauman adopted this metaphor after he had used the term 'postmodernity' for some time⁴. There are two reasons why Bauman changed his mind about the term 'postmodernity'. Firstly, it is a 'negative'; it cannot help in defining what characteristics do or should belong to this epoch. Secondly, the author of the book

'*Postmodernity and its Discontents*' has said that the epoch of modernization has had 'a sad ending' in postmodernity, and he wished to move away from this. For the same reason, he found the terminologies used by Giddens, Beck, Ritzer and Blaider to be insufficient. Thus, in 2000, the founder of the theory of Liquid Modernity conveyed the essence of postmodernity in his own terminology: liquidity.

1.1 Terminology.

The liquid state is one of the principal states of matter. A liquid is a fluid that has loose

particles and can freely form a distinct surface at the boundaries of its bulk material. Its surface moves

freely when the liquid is not constrained by a container⁵. Based on this notion, Bauman saw Liquid Modernity, on the one hand, as a novel phase in the history of modernity and, inspired by Antonio Gramsci's notion of reality as something flexible and fluid, employed the Communist Manifesto's revolutionary vision of 'melting the solids' of modern society⁶. Liquid Modernity, then, is a symptom of the crisis of modernity and a window of opportunity through which to respond. It is a threat and a challenge.

On the other hand, Liquid Modernity means the progressive unravelling of the systems of economy, politics, ethics, law and education which currently make any prospect of shifting, reforming and ultimately stabilizing postmodern society impossible. The Hobbesian problem of the existence of a social order, which gave form to modern social theory and sociology, lacks centrality and urgency in the epoch of Liquid Modernity⁷.

Jiri Piran, in his interpretation of Bauman's work, affirms that "the notion of liquidity is an addition to the comments on 'postmodernity', 'second modernity', 'reflexive modernity', or 'late modernity' in philosophy and social theory in the last three decades. It reflects the diminishing role of the spatial dimensions of social life and highlights the central importance of the flow of time and social change. . . . Information moves with the speed of the

electronic signal and has eroded territorial state power”⁸.

1.2 The criteria of Liquid Modernity.

Zygmunt Bauman has never negated the existence of the structural norm of traditional culture. He confirmed this in his book *Legislator and Interpreters*. He contends that before modernization, the behaviour of human beings was akin to that of *gamekeepers*: people who have no intention of changing the world, and believe that everything is a result of creation. The gamekeeper believes that nature knows how to arrange itself. The task of the gamekeeper is to protect nature from outside intervention and influence.

In solid modernity, however, human beings act as *gardeners*. They form ideas about how to intervene in the world and in nature. In their minds, they understand how to cut down a tree, and shape its growth so as to make a beautiful garden. Hitler, in Bauman’s thought, used this system to form the face of Germany as a great Nation State. The writer of the book ‘Modernity and the Holocaust’ experienced this historical episode personally.

According to Bauman, these types of people - *gardeners* and *gamekeepers* - are not coherent with our contemporary society. Our world, rather, is compared with the *hunter*: those who do not see the totality of life but see only a place to hunt and the results of hunting. He concludes that Liquid Modernity is characterised by living in *unsicherheit* (uncertainty, insecurity and constant danger); moving from one place to another according to financial or material interests, even destroying the social interaction between an individual and his environment. America and its intervention in the free market economic framework is seen as one example of the hunter phenomenon. The author of *Liquid Love* gives another example in regard to relationships between people: it is very difficult to find in liquid society a solid and ‘pure’ relationship, as proposed by Antony Giddens.

1.3 The consequences of Liquid Modernity.

Liquid Modernity takes place within our cosmopolitan society⁹. Based on a ‘liquid society’, we could say that changes across the world in the 21st Century can be explained by the fact that we are increasingly living in “one world”¹⁰, where our actions have consequences for others and the whole world’s problems have consequences for everybody living in the world. This changing world is affecting people’s lives in all countries, rich and poor, altering not just the global system but the everyday life of human beings in general. A changing world directly relates to a change in the behaviour of human beings. The intensification of worldwide interdependent social relations manifests itself in a particular way, summed up by the term ‘Liquid Modernity’. In Zygmunt Bauman’s thesis, there is no distance, though there is a ‘no man’s land’. Geographical distance does not matter any more, while the idea of a geophysical border is increasingly difficult to sustain in the “real world”. As Bauman has affirmed, “distance is a social product”¹¹.

As a social product, and as a further consequence of a changing world, the decades that have passed since the end of the Cold War have been marked by violence, internal conflict and chaotic transformation in many areas of the world. Bauman himself argues that, “the transformation from solid, heavy, hardware-focused modernity to a liquid, light and software-based modernity has brought profound change to all aspects of the world. The new remoteness and un-reachability of the global systemic structure coupled with the unstructured and under-defined, fluid state of the immediate setting of life-politics and human togetherness, call for a rethinking of the concepts and cognitive frames used to narrate human individual experience and their joint history”¹².

The end of the Cold War, the collapse of Soviet-style Communism and the growth of international and regional forms of governance have drawn the countries of the world closer together. The spread of information technology

has facilitated the flow of information around the globe and has encouraged people to adopt a global outlook. Trans-national corporations have grown in size and influence, building networks of production and consumption that span the globe and link economic markets¹³.

In a nutshell, Liquid Modernity signals both an intensification and fragmentation of the world, in accordance with the development of technology and economic growth with its flawed consumer, the 'tourist and vagabond', accompanied by a sense of *unsicherheit*¹⁴, where the new 'googlian' and 'facebook' philosophies, which encourage anomaly and openness, and yet also promote a society in which everything is closely monitored, are ever present. All of these, together, make up the face of 'Liquid Modernity'. The other consequences can be seen in *Der Mann ohne Verwandtschaften*¹⁵, the 'economic migrant', 'asylum seeker', 'global criminality'¹⁶, '*inter arma silent leges*' in which elites ignore even the truth of the political and social system¹⁷, the absence of political control in the struggle for the common good of society. Everywhere we find the results of Bauman's 'cloak-room community'¹⁸.

All of these realities presuppose the existence of each person within this global society and impact concretely upon the existence of Indonesia, as one Nation State¹⁹, within the global world.

2. Understanding Pancasila.

The development of Indonesia ideologically, politically, economically, socially, culturally and ethically is determined by the presence of other countries. The unique key to understanding Indonesia is 'Pancasila' with its motto: *Bhineka Tunggal Ika*, 'unity in diversity', along with other elements which have in recent years refined the depth of our conceptual understanding of this nation²⁰ with its characteristic way of life²¹.

As a way of life in Indonesia, we can categorize *Pancasila* under the German term, *Welthanschauung* (world view)²². *Pancasila* is a

global conception for Indonesia that has far-reaching implications for its design in a philosophical, cultural, sociological, moral and ethical sense. *Pancasila* is seen as the basic building block upon which Indonesia is founded.

Darjo Darmodiharjo, in his book *Santiaji Pancasila* confirmed that *Pancasila* is used as a guideline for daily life. It means that the behaviour of Indonesian society must be conducted in the spirit of *Pancasila*. From when it was first introduced by Sukarno on June 1, 1945 until the people's Consultative Council promulgated Decree no II/MPR/1978 on March 22, 1978, *Pancasila* underwent various changes in its order, formulation and emphasis of meaning. During this period, dozens of books on *Pancasila* were published and thirteen attributes and adjectives have been attached to it²³.

Pancasila as way of life, according to Darmodiharjo, has two dimensions. We saw from its position, *Pancasila* has a supreme role that constitutes the foundation and aspirations of the nation. *Pancasila* comes from the Indonesian tradition and is seen as the spirit and identity of the nation²⁴. Therefore he suggests that *Pancasila*, in its realization, may not contradict the norms of religion, law, ethics and morals. *Pancasila* is a crystallization and essence of Indonesian identity: culture, religion, ethics and morals, democracy and social justice²⁵. Ethics is an essential part of *Pancasila*²⁶.

As a way of life, *Pancasila* represents Indonesian culture, the essence of each ethnic group and the existence of the religions which are represented in Indonesia. It guarantees these pluralities so that it will not collapse with the changes of time, as has happened to Western culture, according to John Carroll²⁷. In this light it will be argued that throughout the past 65 years, although there has been crisis and conflict, *Pancasila* is still living. Is *Pancasila as way of life* a liquid ideology? Is it possible that it can be integrated into a highly liquid society?

2.1 Crisis and revolution inside Pancasila.

Formally, *Pancasila* was born at the end of the Second World War. It entered into the discourse of modernity and experienced crises and revolutions: authoritarian government, Communist ideology, Islamic Fundamentalism, Sharia Law, corruption, and collusion and nepotism under the 'New Order' of Soeharto. In recent years, *Pancasila* experienced ethnic conflict in each region of Indonesia. At the international level, *Pancasila* now participates in the globalized world. Today, it can be analysed within the context of Liquid Modernity.

3. *Pancasila* as way of life in the context of Liquid Modernity.

My analysis, obviously, is based on an implementation of the five divine doctrines of *Pancasila*.

3.1 Liquid Modernity and tolerance.

In Liquid Modernity, *manusia Pancasila* demands much more than a grudging tolerance, supporting or accepting differences as inevitable. It requires the elaboration and the nurturing of a positive belief in the value of a society in which a multitude of languages, histories, cultures and religions coexist, interact and enrich each other in a reciprocal manner. Therefore, *Pancasila* society is a civil society that builds a 'common platform' across which religious, ethnic and political differences can be managed with civility and respect²⁸.

Respect and civil behaviour as advocated by the *manusia Pancasila* are compatible with *Pancasila* itself, which is inclusive and non discriminatory. This is the central task of the first doctrine: One Supreme God. Flemming Intan, in his study on public religion, affirmed that Indonesian religion with its religious ethical guidance should function as a 'liberating development' by de-sanctifying everything other than strictly transcendental values²⁹.

In this sense Zygmunt Bauman identified changes in the social mechanism of religion in response to transformations in postmodern morality and currents of opinion in the era of

Liquid Modernity. It is the aim of his sociology of religion to further the full and free development of every human individual. Fanaticism, on the contrary, belongs to the holocaust and is against humanism itself. Fanaticism is a preposterous claim and leads to barbarism. It is the way of the tiger, according to Voltaire³⁰.

3.2 Liquid Modernity and human rights.

There are some common challenges that East Asian countries face regarding human rights, as observed by Joanne and Daniel Bell³¹. Human rights in Asia are confronted by a particular set of problems, different from those experienced in the European or American context³². Indonesia, as a particular case, is one of the East Asian countries in which violence against human rights - in lack of religious freedoms caused by cultural attitudes and values, civil unrest and political instability, grinding poverty, greed, lax border controls, and burgeoning sex industries - is always a crucial problem.

Liquid Modernity helps *manusia Pancasila* in order to make liquid the authoritarian power's opinion, fanaticism or fundamentalism in Indonesia, by maintaining the values of the second doctrine: just and civilized humanity.

The complexity of human rights in Indonesia have produced a system dominated by marked principles to help *manusia Pancasila* to explore the importance of the material conditions of a just and civilized humanity. Concretely, all Indonesian Islamic Neo-Modernists support the ideas of human rights although their approaches have varied, as argued Nurcholish Madjid and Abdurrahman Wahid. For Christian intellectuals, the universal sovereignty of God should be the one, principal theological basis when we deal with the issues of human rights. And all religions affirm that these rights should be vested in God's image³³.

3.3 Liquid Modernity and dignity of differences.

Bauman's discussion of nationalism might at the time have seemed of little relevance to

Pancasila. The idea of a national self-consciousness emerged as a revolutionary force in transforming national identity from European identity after World War II. And in Bauman's opinion nationalism could not elude the wide-ranging effects of identity: identity becomes a puzzle or a problem. Identity should become also a task to be realized³⁴.

As Bauman argued, nationalism in *Pancasila* should be represented not by Islamic people, nor Christian people, nor public religions generally speaking. Rather, Islamic values, Christian values, religious values should be seen as inseparable parts of the same societal fabric, as Madjid has argued. There is no Islamic-ness, no Christian-ness, no public-religious-ness, no indigenous-ness. No Java-ness. No outer Java-ness. There is only *Pancasila-ness* with a respect for numerous differences as well as the content of the third divine doctrine: the unity of Indonesia³⁵.

Zygmunt Bauman calls on Indonesian people to recognize and respect each other's differences. Eliminating the other's identity means we enter into the outcast mode of Liquid Modernity. We enter into human waste. We are not human beings. We are otherwise human waste. In Bauman, nationalism proclaimed the nation itself, the living legacy of a long and tortuous history, to be a good in its own right – and not just one good among many others, but the supreme good, one that dwarfs and subordinates all other goods³⁶.

3.4 Liquid Modernity and Pancasila democracy.

Bauman's theories on Liquid Modernity help the maturation of *Pancasila* democracy. Bauman is right if we look to the real political life of politicians in Indonesia. At the beginning of each election, the politicians promised all the best programs in defence of *Pancasila*, and the constitution of 1945, in order to develop the interest of the people. In reality, the political consequences soon became apparent. They won. The 'other' lost. And the people continued their daily life as people from the third world.

At the level of ministries in the government, we find the difficulties that face people who represent other religions. Islam, as the majority religion becomes the key to an open political system. Where is our *Pancasila* democracy? In this circumstance, Bauman provides the resolution to such a problem: in pointing to the fact that pluralism in Indonesia is the future of *Pancasila* democracy³⁷.

By regarding *Pancasila* as an open ideology we can develop fresh and creative ways to implement *Pancasila* and respond to the changes and challenges of this restlessly dynamic age, including national stability, dynamic growth, national unity and integrity, national security and national resilience³⁸.

3.5 Liquid Modernity and social justice.

John Rawls observes that social justice rules 'the basic structure of society, that is, the major political, economic, and social institutions that play the determining role in the divisions of advantages from social cooperation'³⁹. Here, the State follows the principle of subsidiarity. Nurcholish Madjid, otherwise, describes social solidarity as an attitude which puts a high premium on the benefits that accrue to others. Hollenbach calls human beings to participate actively in contributing to, and benefiting from, the emergent patterns of (human) interdependence which affect them. And social justice would be able to deepen 'people's participation in creating and benefiting from the common good'.

There are different names applied but the scope of the fifth doctrine was the promotion of public welfare, the welfare of the *manusia Pancasila* as recommended in the preamble of the constitution of 1945, paragraph four, and therefore was conceived in order to form a government of the State of Indonesia which (might) protect the whole nation of Indonesia and the entire territory of Indonesia, and in order to promote public welfare.

Conclusion:

Taking into consideration the problem of *Pancasila* as way of life in the context of Bauman's Liquid Modernity in global perspective, we stand before of a clear challenge: not only how to realize the five divine doctrines of *Pancasila*, but also how to maintain their values. The State could inspire various governments towards these particular aims: commitment to humanity, struggle for justice and peace, the development of economy, intercultural and inter-religious dialogue. For the world we live in appears to be marked by fragmentation and discontinuity⁴⁰.

If we consider, nevertheless, the various deviances that pervade and animate Indonesian society in looking to establish the priority of each of the above elements, we hold that the more urgent matter resides in a return of hope and trust to all the various ethnicities of Indonesia following crises and deviations from the full *Pancasila* experience, characterized by the totalitarian regime of Soeharto, by corruption, collusion and nepotism where all have looked to

their own interests, leading the poor to become poorer.

The clash between the different religions has been used as an instrument to destroy the unity of Indonesia. Scientific invention and modern technology has furthered the abuse of the weak. The whole infrastructure of government has been employed to the advantage of small elites. The commitment to the common good and the moral values of the state was carelessly ignored. Violence has increased. The drama of humanitarian crises is less under control than before. When we find a pervasive indifference, there is a crisis of moral values that negates the rights of citizens: under these circumstances, we need a commitment not only from the government, but also all institutions aside from government including the Catholic Church with their social teachings based on a moral assessment of human dignity, of solidarity and subsidiarity. According to this perspective, we hold it to be necessary and useful that *Pancasila* as a way of life is questioned in the context of Zygmunt Bauman's Liquid Modernity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. ANDERSON Benedict, *The imagined communities*, trad. Di Marco Vignale, *Comunità immaginate. Origini e fortuna dei nazionalismi*, Roma, Manifestolibri, 1996;
2. ARENDT Hannah, *Eichmann in Jerusalem*, trad. di Piero Bernardini, *La banalità del male. Eichmann a Gerusalemme*, Milano, Feltrinelli, 2006;
3. -----, *The human condition*, trad. di Sergio Finzi, *Vita attiva. La condizione umana*, Milano, Toscabile Bompiani, 2006;
4. ALESINA Alberto – GIAVAZZI Francesco, *La crisi. Può la politica salvare il mondo?* Milano, Il –saggiatore, 2008;
5. BAUMAN Zygmunt, *Liquid modernity*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2000;
6. -----, *Intimations of postmodernity*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1992;
7. -----, *Postmodernity and its discontents*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1997;
8. -----, *Postmodern ethics*, Malden, Blackwell Publishing, 1993;
9. -----, *Society under siege*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2001;
10. -----, *Globalization. The human consequences*, New York, Columbia university Press, 1998;
11. -----, *In search of Politics*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1999;
12. -----, *Liquid love*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2003;
13. -----, *Waste life. Modernity and its outcast*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2004.;
14. -----, *Liquid Fear*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2006;
15. -----, *Community. Seeking safety in the insecure world*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2001;

16. -----, *Liquid time*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2003;
17. -----, *Identity. Conversation with Benedetto Vecchi*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2004;
18. -----, *Life in fragments*, Oxford, Blackwell, 1995;
19. -----, *Una nuova condizione umana*, a cura di Mauro Magatti, Milano, Vita e Pensiero, 2003;
20. -----, *Thinking Sociologically*, Malden, Blackwell Publishing, 2001;
21. -----, *Europe. An unfinished adventure*, trad. di Marco Cupellaro, *L'europa è un'avventura*, Roma – Bari, Editori Laterza, 2004;
22. -----, *The art of life*, trad. di Marco Cupellaro, *L'arte della vita*, Roma – Bari, Editori Laterza, 2009;
23. -----, *Individualmente insieme*, a cura di Carmen Leccardi, Reggio Emilia, Edizioni Diabasis, 2008;
24. -----, *Work, consumerism and new poor*, trad. di Mario Baccianini, *Lavoro, consumismo e nuove povertà*, Troina, Città Aperta Edizioni, 2007;
25. -----, *Vita di corsa. Come salvarsi dalla tirannia dell'effimero*, a cura di Daniele Francesconi, Bologna, Il Mulino Editore, 2008;
26. -----, *Community. Seeking safety in an insecure world*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2001.
27. -----, *Alone Again. Ethics after uncertainty*, London, White dove Press, 1994;
28. BAKRY Noor, *Pancasila yuridis kenegaraan*, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Liberty, 1994;
29. BAUR Joanne R. – Bell Daniel A, *The East Asian challenge for human rights*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999;
30. BECK Ulrich, *La società cosmopolita. Prospettiva dell'epoca post-nazionale*, trad. di Carlo Sandrelli, Bologna, Il Mulino, 2003;
31. -----, *Risikogesellschaft. Auf dem Weg in eine andere Moderne*, a cura di Walter Privitera, *La società del rischio. Verso una seconda modernità*, Roma, Carocci Editore, 2000;
32. BEILHARZ Peter, *The Bauman reader*, Malden, Blackwell Publishers, 2001;
33. BENEDICT XVI, *Encyclical Letter Spes Salvi*, Città del Vaticano, Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 2007;
34. BOBBIO Norberto, *Il futuro della democrazia*, Torino, Einaudi Editore, 1984;
35. BORUCHIER David, *Indonesia politics and society. A reader*, London, Routledge, Courson, 2003;
36. BOZZI Rudolfo, *Il Pancasila Indonesiano*, Bari, Adriatica Editrice, 1990;
37. CARROL John, *The wreck of western Culture. Humanism revisited*, Melbourne, Grand and associated, 2004;
38. COMPAGNONI Francesco, *I diritti dell'uomo. Genesi, storia e impegno cristiano*. Milano, Edizioni san Paolo, 1995;
39. ----- - LO PRESTI Alberto (Edd.), *Etica e globalizzazione*, Roma, Città Nuova Edizioni, 2006;
40. CORBETTA Piergiorgio, *Metodologia e tecniche della ricerca sociale*, Bologna, Il Mulino Editore, 1999;
41. DARMAPUTERA Eka, *Pancasila and the search for identity and modernity in Indonesian society*, Leiden E:J:Breil, 1987;
42. DARMODIHARJO Darji, *Santiaji Pancasila*, Surabaya, Penerbit Usaha Nasional, 1991;
43. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION FOR THE REPUBLIK OF INDONESIA, *Undang – Undang Dasar 1945 dan amandemen lengkap*, Jakarta, BP-7, 1991;
44. ELLIOT Jaques, *Teoria generale della burocrazia*, Milano, Mandadori Editore, 1979;
45. FLEMING INTAN Benyamin, *Public religion and the Pancasila - based state of Indonesia. An Ethical and sociological analysis*. New York, Peter Lang Publishing, 2006;

46. FRIEDMAN Thomas L, *The world is flat*, trad.di aldo Piccato, Il mondo è piatto. Breve storia del ventunesimo secolo, Milano, Mondadori Editore, 2006;
47. JACOBSEN Michael Hviid – Paul PODER, *Sociology of Zygmunt Bauman*, Hampshire, Ashgate Publishing, 2008;
48. KANSIL Christine, *Pancasila dan Undang – Undang Dasar 1945. Pendidikan Pancasila di Perguruan Tinggi*, Jakarta, Pt. Pradnya Paramita, 2005;
49. KENICHE Ohmae, *The end of the nation – state. The rise of regional economies*, a cura di Enrica Angelina, *La fine dello stato nazione. L'emergere delle economie regionali*, Milano, Baldini & Castaldi, 1996;
50. LIEBESKIND, *The encyclopedia Americana. International edition*, vol. 17, Danbury, Grolier Incorporated, 1991;
51. LO PRESTI Alberto, *La teoria dell'elites. Tra filosofia della storia e scienza politica*, Roma, Nova Millennium Romae, 2003;
52. MANNHEIM Karl, *Essays on the sociology of knowledge*, a cura di M. Gagliardi – T. Souvan, *sociologia della conoscenza*, Bologna, Il mulino Editore, 2000;
53. MIROSLAV Volf – William KATERBERG (Edd.), *The future of hope. Christian tradition amid modernity and postmodernity*, New York, Eerdmans Publishing, 2004;
54. NATIONAL MOVEMENTS ACTIVIST, *Pancasila and youth generations*, in "Kompas", 5-3-2008;
55. PIRAN Jiri, *Liquid society and its law*, Hampshire, Ashgate Publishing, 2007;
56. PORCHEDDU Alba, *Zygmunt Bauman. Intervista sull'educazione. Sfida pedagogiche e modernità liquida*, Roma, Anizia, 2005;
57. RAWLS John, *Theory of justice*, trad. di Ugo Santini, *Una teoria della giustizia*, Milano, Feltrinelli, 2008;
58. SACK Jonathan, *The dignity of difference. How to avoid the clash of civilization*, London, MPG Books Ltd, 2002;
59. SASSIER Philippe, *Pourquoi la tolérance*, trad. Di Carlo De Nonno, *Perchè la tolleranza*, Roma, Salerno Editrice, 2000;
60. SILLS David L, *International encyclopedia of the social sciences*, vol. 9, New York, The MacMillan Company and the free Press, 1969;
61. SOEKARNO, *Filsafat Pancasila menurut bung Karno*, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Media Pressindo, 2006;
62. SUNOTO, *Mengenai Filsafat Pancasila. Pendekatan melalui etika Pancasila*. Yogyakarta, Penerbit Hanindita, 1982;
63. SUWARNO P. J, *Pancasila budaya bangsa Indonesia. Penelitian Pancasila dengan pendekatan histories, filosofis dan socio-yuridis kenegaraan*, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Kanisius, 1993;
64. SCHWARZ Adam, *A nation in waiting. Indonesia search for stability*, Boulder, Westview Press, 2000;
65. SUDIRMO Daeng H, *Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan pada Perguruan Tinggi*, Bandung, Penerbit Randu alas, 2009;
66. STUART MILL John, *Essay on politics and society*, Canada, Routledge & Kegan Paul Press, 1977;
67. TESTER Keith, *The social thought of Zygmunt Bauman*, New York, Palgrave macMillan Published, 2004;
68. TZETAN Todorov, *Le peur des barbares*, a cura di Emanuele Lana, *La paura dei barbari. Oltre lo scontro delle civiltà*, Milano, Garzanti, 2009;
69. VANNESS Peter, *Debating human rights. Critical essays from the United States and Asia*, London, routledge, 1999;
70. VOLTAIRE, *Traité sur la tolerance*, trad. di Glauca Nichelini, *Trattato sulla tolleranza*, Milano, Giunti Editore, 1993.

NOTE:

¹Peter BEILHARZ, *The Bauman Reader*, Malden, Blackwell Publishers, 2001, 202.

²Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Modernity*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2000.

³Keith TESTER, *The Social Thought of Zygmunt Bauman*, New York, Palgrave Macmillan Published, 2004, 1-11.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zygmunt_Bauman, 4/17/2008.

⁴Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Intimations of postmodernity*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1992; *Postmodern ethics*, Malden, Blackwell Publishing, 1993. *Postmodernity and its discontents*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1997.

⁵Herbert LIEBESKIND, *The Encyclopedia Americana. International edition, Vol. 17*. Danbury, Grolier Incorporated, 1991, 549 – 551.

⁶Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Modernity*, op.cit, 2-4.

⁷Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Society under Siege*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2002, 26

⁸Jiri PIRAN, *Liquid society and its Law*, Hampshire, Ashgate Publishing, 2007, 1.

⁹Ulrich BECK, *La società cosmopolita. Prospettiva dell'epoca post-nazionale*, trad. di Carlo Sandrelli, Bologna, Il Mulino, 2003.

¹⁰Thomas L. FRIEDMAN, *The world is Flat*, trad. di Aldo Piccato, *Il mondo è piatto. Breve storia del ventesimo secolo*, Milano, Mondadori Editore, 2006.

¹¹Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Globalization. The Human Consequences*, New York, Columbia University Press, 1998, 12.

¹²Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Modernity*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2000.

¹³Michael Hvid JACOBSEN – Paul PODER, *Sociology of Zygmunt Bauman*, Hampshire, Ashgate Publishing, 2008.

¹⁴Zygmunt BAUMAN, *In Search of Politics*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 1999, 5. Zygmunt Bauman has translated the German terminology *unsicherheit* with three English terms: uncertainty, insecurity and unsafety.

¹⁵Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Love*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2003.

¹⁶Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Waste Life. Modernity and its Outcast*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2004.

¹⁷Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Fear*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2006.

¹⁸Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Community. Seeking Safety in the Insecure World*, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2001.

¹⁹The origins and early history of nation-states are disputed. Cfr.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nation_state.htm. <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-a-nation-state-htm>.

<http://www.towson.edu/polsci/ppp/sp97/readism/whatism.htm>. Some authors have even, analysed the

disappearance of the nation state. Cfr. Ohmae KENICHE, *The End of the Nation State. The Rise of Regional Economies*, trad. it. a cura di Enrica Angelini, *La fine dello stato nazione. L'emergere delle economie regionali*, Milano, Baldini & Castaldi, 1996 We need national identity and we need the State as a sign of the 'personal', present in each particular community. Cfr. Benedict ANDERSON, *Imagined Communities*, trad. it. di Marco Vignale, *Comunità immaginate. Origini e fortuna dei nazionalismi*, Roma, Manifestolibri, 1996. Zygmunt Bauman himself has written that the centuries long romance of Nation States is drawing to an end; we are seeing not so much a divorce as a 'living together' arrangement replacing the consecrated marital togetherness grounded in unconditional loyalty. Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Liquid Modernity*, op.cit, 185.

²⁰To consider the other analysis of Pancasila (read: *Pantjasila*, consisting of two Sanskrit words, *panca* meaning five and *sila* meaning principle with contents: *One Lordship, a just and civilized humanity, the unity of Indonesia, Pancasila democracy and social justice*) see Eka DARMAPUTRA, *Pancasila and the search for identity and modernity in Indonesian society*, Leiden, E.J.Breil, 1987; Benyamin FLEMING INTAN, *Public religion and the Pancasila – based state of Indonesia. An ethical and sociological analysis*, New York, Peter Lang Publishing, 2006.

²¹SOEKARNO, *Filsafat Pancasila menurut bung Karno*, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Media Pressindo, 2006, 7.

²²The concept of *Welthanschaung*, developed in the writings of Dilthey and Spranger, came together in Mannheim's thought in the 1920s. Cfr. David L. SILLS (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of the social sciences*, Vol. 9, New York, The MacMillan Company and the Free Press, 557 – 562, 576 – 579. Cfr. Karl MANNHEIM, *Essays on the sociology of knowledge*, trad. it a cura di M. Gagliardi – T. Souvan, *Sociologia della conoscenza*, Bologna, Il Mulino Editore, 2000, 3 – 63.

²³Eka DARMAPUTERA, *Pancasila and the search for identity*, Op.cit, 176.

²⁴Darji DARMODIHARJO, *Santiaji Pancasila*, Surabaya, Penerbit Usaha Nasional, 1991, 16 – 17.

²⁵Christine KANSIL, *Pancasila dan Undang – Undang Dasar 1945, Pendidikan Pancasila di perguruan tinggi*, Yakarta, PT Pradnya Paramita, 2005.

²⁶SUNOTO, *Mengenal Filsafat Pancasila. Pendekatan melalui etika Pancasila*, Yogyakarta, Penerbit Hanindita, 1982.

²⁷John CARROL, *The Wreck of Western Culture*.

Humanism Revisited, Melbourne, Grand and Associated, 2004.

²⁸Philippe SASSIER, *Pourquoi la Tolérance*, trad. di Carlo De NONNO, *Perchè la tolleranza*, Roma, Salerno Editrice, 2000.

²⁹Benyamin INTAN LFEMING, *Public religion and Pancasila based state of Indonesia. An ethical and sociological analysis*. *Op.cit.*, 230.

³⁰Voltaire, *Traité sur la tolérance*, *Op.cit.*, 48.

³¹Joanne R. BAUER – Daniel A.BELL, *The East Asian challenge for human rights*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

³²Peter VANNESS, *Debating human rights. Critical essays from the United States and Asia*, London, Routledge, 1999.

³³Benyamin FLEMING INTAN, *Public religion and the Pancasila*, *Op.cit.*, 234-236.

³⁴Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Identity. Conversation with Benedetto Vecchi*. Cambridge, Polity Press, 2004

³⁵Adam SCHWARZ, *A nation in waiting. Indonesia search for stability*, Boulder, Westview Press, 2000.

³⁶Zygmunt BAUMAN, *In search of politics*, *Op.cit.*, 165.

³⁷Cfr. Norberto Bobbio, *Il futuro della democrazia*, Torino, Einaudi Editore, 1984.

³⁸SOEHARTO, *Openness*, in David BOURCHIER, *Indonesian politics and society*, *Op.cit.*, 192-194.

³⁹John RAWLS, *Theory of justice*, trad. it. di Ugo Santini, *Una teoria della giustizia*, Milano, Feltrinelli, 2008, 7.

⁴⁰Zygmunt BAUMAN, *Alone again. Ethics after certainty*, London, White Dove Press, 1994, 16